1	MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)				
2	MJacobs@mofo.com ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)				
3	AGonzález@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street				
4	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Tel: 415.268.7000 / Fax: 415.268.7522				
5	KAREN L. DUNN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)				
6	kdunn@bsfllp.com HAMISH P.M. HUME (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)				
7	hhume@bsfllp.com BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP				
8 9	1401 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington DC 20005 Tel: 202.237.2727 / Fax: 202.237.6131				
10	WILLIAM CARMODY (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)				
10	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com SHAWN RABIN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)				
12	srabin@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP				
13	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor New York, NY 10019-6023				
14	Tel: 212.336.8330 / Fax: 212.336.8340				
15 16	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and OTTOMOTTO LLC				
17	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
18		ICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19		SCO DIVISION			
20					
21	WAYMO LLC,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA			
22	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ESTHER KIM			
23	v.	CHANG IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS UBER			
24	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING	TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND OTTOMOTTO LLC'S RESPONSE TO WAYMO'S OFFER OF PROOF			
25	LLC,	Trial Date: February 5, 2018			
26	Defendants.	11th Date. 1 column 5, 2010			
27					
28					

2728

25

26

11.

Attached hereto as **Exhibit 10** are relevant pages from the deposition of

Richard Jacobs, taken on December 20, 2017.

Scott Johnston, taken on December 14, 2017.

1	12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
2	Travis Kalanick, taken on December 14, 2017.	
3	13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
4	Kevin Maher, taken on December 12, 2017.	
5	14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
6	Sidney Majalya, taken on December 20, 2017.	
7	15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 14 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
8	Eric Meyhofer, taken on August 18, 2017.	
9	16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 15 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
10	Rhian Morgan, taken on October 4, 2017.	
11	17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
12	Rhian Morgan, taken on November 21, 2017.	
13	18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
14	Jake Nocon, taken on December 19, 2017.	
15	19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 18 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
16	Angela Padilla, taken on December 22, 2017.	
17	20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 19 are relevant pages from the deposition of Lior Ron	
18	taken on June 19, 2017.	
19	21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 20 are relevant pages from the 30(b)(6) deposition of	
20	Lior Ron, taken on December 12, 2017.	
21	22. Attached hereto as Exhibit 21 are relevant pages from the individual deposition of	
22	Lior Ron, taken on December 12, 2017.	
23	23. Attached hereto as Exhibit 22 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
24	Edward Russo, taken on December 20, 2017.	
25	24. Attached hereto as Exhibit 23 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
26	Joe Spiegler, taken on December 22, 2017.	
27	25. Attached hereto as Exhibit 24 are relevant pages from the deposition of	
28	Shaun Stewart, taken on December 19, 2017.	
	CHANG DECLARATION ISO DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO WAYMO'S OFFER OF PROOF	

1	26.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 25 are relevant pages from the deposition of
2	Ognen Stojanovski, taken on July 20, 2017.	
3	27.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 26 are relevant pages from the deposition of
4	Joe Sullivan, ta	aken on December 14, 2017.
5	28.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 27 are relevant pages from the deposition of Salle You
6	taken on Decer	mber 14, 2017.
7	29.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 28 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
8	in this litigation	n bearing Bates numbers CFL000297 to CFL000300.
9	30.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 29 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
10	in this litigation	n bearing Bates numbers UBER00063696 to UBER00063698.
11	31.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 30 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
12	in this litigation	n bearing Bates numbers UBER00006435 to UBER00006443.
13	32.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 31 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
14	in this litigation bearing Bates number UBER00330899 to UBER00330901.	
15	33.	Exhibit 32 Intentionally Not Used.
16	34.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 33 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
17	in this litigation	n bearing Bates number UBER00338417.
18	35.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 34 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
19	in this litigation	n bearing Bates numbers UBER00340331 to UBER00340359.
20	36.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 35 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
21	in this litigation	n bearing Bates numbers UBER00340360 to UBER00340390.
22	37.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 36 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
23	in this litigation	n bearing Bates numbers UBER00340391 to UBER00340416.
24	38.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 37 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
25	in this litigation	n bearing Bates numbers UBER00355965 to UBER00355967.
26	39.	Attached hereto as Exhibit 38 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
27	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00006373.	
28		

1	40. Attached hereto as Exhibit 39 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
2	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00009646 to	
3	WAYMO-UBER-00009647.	
4	41. Attached hereto as Exhibit 40 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
5	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00027031 to	
6	WAYMO-UBER-00027033.	
7	42. Attached hereto as Exhibit 41 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
8	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00034071 to	
9	WAYMO-UBER-00034073.	
10	43. Attached hereto as Exhibit 42 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
11	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00145114 to	
12	WAYMO-UBER-00145127.	
13	44. Attached hereto as Exhibit 43 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
14	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00145156 to	
15	WAYMO-UBER-00145157.	
16	45. Attached hereto as Exhibit 44 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
17	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00145158 to	
18	WAYMO-UBER-00145159.	
19	46. Attached hereto as Exhibit 45 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
20	in this litigation bearing Bates number WAYMO-UBER-00145215.	
21	47. Attached hereto as Exhibit 46 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
22	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00145216 to	
23	WAYMO-UBER-00145217.	
24	48. Attached hereto as Exhibit 47 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
25	in this litigation bearing Bates number WAYMO-UBER-00145218.	
26	49. Attached hereto as Exhibit 48 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
27	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers WAYMO-UBER-00145160 to	
28	WAYMO-UBER-00145214	

1	50. Attached hereto as Exhibit 49 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 2305 to the
2	deposition of Shaun Stewart, taken on December 19, 2017.
3	51. Attached hereto as Exhibit 50 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 9002 to the
4	deposition of Lior Ron, taken on December 12, 2017
5	52. Attached hereto as Exhibit 51 is a true and correct copy of an email from Arturo
6	González to James Judah, dated November 19, 2017.
7	53. Attached hereto as Exhibit 52 is a true and correct copy of an email from Andrea
8	Roberts to Uber counsel, dated November 29, 2017.
9	54. Attached hereto as Exhibit 53 is a true and correct copy of the June 3, 2010 Stroz
10	Friedberg Source Code Analysis Report prepared for Google, available at
11	https://www.google.com/googleblogs/pdfs/friedberg_sourcecode_analysis_060910.pdf.
12	55. Attached hereto as Exhibit 54 is a true and correct copy of relevant pages from
13	Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC's Responses to Waymo's First Set of
14	Expedited Interrogatories Pursuant to Paragraph Six of the May 11, 2017 Preliminary Injunction
15	Order (Nos. 1-9), dated June 5, 2017.
16	56. Attached hereto as Exhibit 55 is a true and correct copy of relevant pages from
17	Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC's Responses to Waymo's Third Set
18	Common Interrogatories (Nos. 8-9), dated August 14, 2017.
19	57. Attached hereto as Exhibit 56 is a true and correct copy of the September 5, 2017
20	Supplemental Log Pursuant to Orders on Motion for Preliminary Relief Special Master's
21	Protocol.
22	58. Attached hereto as Exhibit 57 is a true and correct copy of relevant pages from the
23	Expert Report of Andy Crain, dated October 23, 2017.
24	59. Attached hereto as Exhibit 58 is a true and correct copy of relevant pages from
25	Plaintiff Waymo's Submission to Special Master Cooper Regarding Uber's Obligation to Produce
26	Jacobs Letter and Related Documents, dated December 5, 2017.
27	
28	

1	60. Attached hereto as Exhibit 59 is a true and correct copy of relevant pages from	
2	Plaintiff's Responses and Objections to Uber's Interrogatory Nos. 51-56, dated December	
3	19, 2017.	
4	61. Attached hereto as Exhibit 60 is a true and correct copy of Uber's "Inventory of	
5	Non-Attributable Devices," as revised on January 16, 2018.	
6	62. Attached hereto as Exhibit 61 is a true and correct copy of relevant pages from	
7	Docket Entry No. 483, dated October 21, 2010, from Function Media, LLC v. Google, Inc.,	
8	Case No. 2007-cv-279 (E.D. Texas).	
9	63. Attached hereto as Exhibit 62 is a true and correct copy of Docket Entry No. 416	
10	dated January 26, 2010, from Function Media, LLC v. Google, Inc., Case No. 2007-cv-279	
11	(E.D. Texas).	
12	64. Attached hereto as Exhibit 63 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of Uber's	
13	litigation hold relating to chat applications or instant messaging applications.	
14	65. Attached hereto as Exhibit 64 is a true and correct copy of an article entitled	
15	"Rivals Chasing Velodyne in Lidar Race," available at	
16	https://www.eetimes.com/document.asp?doc_id=1330321, dated August 18, 2016.	
17	66. Attached hereto as Exhibit 65 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
18	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers UBER00218222 to UBER00218227.	
19	67. Attached hereto as Exhibit 66 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
20	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers UBER00218250 to UBER00218255.	
21	68. Attached hereto as Exhibit 67 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
22	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers UBER00074666.	
23	69. Attached hereto as Exhibit 68 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
24	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers UBER00285865.	
25	70. Attached hereto as Exhibit 69 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
26	in this litigation bearing Bates numbers UBER00337037 to UBER00337039.	
27	71. Attached hereto as Exhibit 70 is a true and correct copy of an email from Sylvia	
28	Rivera, counsel for Uber, to counsel for Waymo, dated December 29, 2017.	

1	72. Attached hereto as Exhibit 71 is a true and correct copy of relevant pages from		
2	Plaintiff's Fourth Supplemental Objections and Responses to Uber's Fifth Set of Interrogatories		
3	(Nos. 20, 24), dated August 30, 2017.		
4	73. Attached hereto as Exhibit 72 is a true and correct copy of an email from Sylvia		
5	Rivera, counsel for Uber, to Andrea Roberts, counsel for Waymo, dated December 22, 2017.		
6	74. Attached hereto as Exhibit 73 is a true and correct copy of an article entitled		
7	"Waymo's Self-Driving Chrysler Pacifica Begins Testing in San Francisco," available at		
8	https://techcrunch.com/2018/01/12/waymos-self-driving-chrysler-pacifica-begins-testing-in-san-		
9	francisco/, dated January 12, 2018.		
10	75. Attached hereto as Exhibit 74 is a true and correct copy of an article entitled		
11	"Waymo's Self-Driving Minivan Spotted in San Francisco," available at		
12	https://www.theverge.com/2018/1/12/16884064/waymo-self-driving-car-spotted-san-francisco.		
13	76. Attached hereto as Exhibit 75 is a true and correct copy of the Order Granting		
14	Uber's Petition to Vacate Arbitration Discovery Order, from <i>Uber Technologies, Inc. v.</i>		
15	Google, Inc., Case No. CPF-17-515960 (Super. Ct. Cal. Jan. 16, 2018).		
16			
17	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this		
18	19th day of January, 2018 in San Francisco, California.		
19			
20			
21	/s/ Esther Kim Chang ESTHER KIM CHANG		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE I, Arturo J. Gonzalez, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Declaration. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Esther Kim Chang has concurred in this filing. Dated: January 19, 2018 /s/ Arturo J. González ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ